

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ***

4 WILLIAM TERRELL, Guardian Ad Litem for
5 QUENTIN SLAGOWSKI, a minor, ANIKA
6 SLAGOWSKI, a minor, and ROWAN
7 SLAGOWSKI, a minor, the ESTATE OF JOHN
8 MICHAEL SLAGOWSKI, by and through its
personal representative, PATRICIA DEAN,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 CENTRAL WASHINGTON ASPHALT, INC.,
DONALD HANNON, JAMES WENTLAND,
JERRY GOLDSMITH,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-cv-00142-APG-VCF
Consolidated with:
Case no. 2:12-cv-01435-APG-VCF
Case no. 2:12-cv-01475-APG-VCF

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

[Motion for Determination of Good Faith
Settlement with Slagowski Parties (ECF NO.
523)]

13 Before the court is Central Washington Asphalt, Inc. and Donald Hannon's Motion for
14 Determination of Good Faith Settlement with Slagowski Parties (ECF No. 523). The court held a hearing
15 on August 8, 2016 and heard representations from the parties.

16 **Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement**

17 **A. Relevant Facts**

18 This consolidated litigation arises out of a multi-vehicle collision that occurred on December 12,
19 2010. On December 12, 2010, Defendants James Wentland, Jerry Goldsmith, and Donald Hannon were
20 driving trucks owned by Defendant Central Washington Asphalt, Inc. They were headed southbound on
21 Nevada State Route 318, a two-lane road. The Slagowski parties allege that on this date, Hannon, James
22 Wentland and Jerry Goldsmith, employees of CWA, caused the accident which fatally injured Jon Michael
23 Slagowski. The CWA Defendants deny liability. The Slagowski Plaintiffs are Mr. Slagowski's minor
24 children and heirs. Wentland and Goldsmith have been dismissed on summary judgment. (ECF No. 504).
25 CWA defendants and the Slagowski parties have now negotiated a settlement. (ECF No. 523).

1 **1. Relevant Law**

2 Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute §17.245, “[w]hen a release or a covenant not to sue or not to
3 enforce judgment is given in good faith to one of two or more persons liable in tort for the same injury or
4 the same wrongful death: (a) It does not discharge any of the other tortfeasors from liability for the injury
5 or wrongful death unless its terms so provide, but it reduces the claim against the others to the extent of
6 any amount stipulated by the release or the covenant, or in the amount of the consideration paid for it,
7 whichever is the greater; and (b) It discharges the tortfeasor to whom it is given from all liability for
8 contribution and for equitable indemnity to any other tortfeasor.”

9 The court in *The Doctors Co. v. Vincent*, stated that, as evidenced by the ruling in *In re MGM*
10 *Grand Hotel Fire Litigation*, “the Nevada Federal District Court embrace[s] the following factors in
11 evaluating good-faith issues under NRS 17.245: [1] [t]he amount paid in settlement, [2] the allocation of
12 the settlement proceeds among plaintiffs, [3] the insurance policy limits of settling defendants, [4] the
13 financial condition of settling defendants, and [5] the existence of collusion, fraud or tortious conduct
14 aimed to injure the interests of non-settling defendants.” *The Doctors Co. v. Vincent*, 120 Nev. 644, 651-
15 52, 98 P.3d 681, 686 (2004)(quoting *In re MGM Grand Hotel Fire Litigation*, 570 F.Supp. 913, 927
16 (D.Nev.1983)). The court also stated that these factors are not exhaustive, and that the determination of
17 good faith settlement “should be left to the discretion of the trial court based upon all relevant facts
18 available...” *Id* at 652 (quoting *Velsicol Chemical v. Davidson*, 107 Nev. 356, 360, 811 P.2d 561, 563
19 (1991)).

20 **2. Discussion**

21 In considering the factors outlined above, the Court recommends granting CWA's Motion for
22 Determination of Good Faith Settlement with The Slagowski Parties (ECF No. 523).

23 No opposition has been filed. This constitutes consent to the granting of the motion under Local
24 Rule 7-2(d), which states that “[t]he failure of an opposing party to file point and authorities in response
25 to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion.”

1 The Court has reviewed the instant motion and finds that the proposed settlement satisfies section
2 17.245's good-faith requirement. The court's finding is predicated on three of the MGM factors. With
3 regard to the first factor, Central Washington proposes compensating The Slagowski Parties
4 \$5,000,000.00 for their injuries, medical bills, pain and suffering, and the disruption to their daily living.
5 (ECF No. 520 at 3). This amount, which is "the prime badge" for determining good faith, *see MGM Grand*
6 *Hotel Fire Litig.*, 570 F. Supp. at 927, represents a fair and reasonable amount in light of the nature of her
7 injuries. *Id.* This proposed settlement amount will help avoid substantial future litigation costs that will
8 be incurred in preparation for trial. *Id.*

9 With regard to the second factor, the proposed settlement amount appears fair and reasonable under
10 Central Washington's insurance policy limit, *see MGM Grand Hotel Fire Litig.*, 570 F. Supp. at 927,
11 which is nine million dollars. (ECF No. 520 at 4).

12 Finally, the propose settlement agreement was apparently reached in good faith because collusion,
13 fraud, and other tortious conduct aimed to injure the interests of non-settling defendants is absent. *MGM*
14 *Grand Hotel Fire Litig.*, 570 F. Supp. at 927. The proposed settlement was reached after substantial
15 discovery, including (1) the depositions of all of the parties, their experts, and relevant witnesses, (2)
16 discussions as to each party's likelihood of success at trial, and (3) mediation in December 2014, (4)
17 mediation in April 2016 and (5) lengthy negotiations regarding settlement terms. (ECF NO. 523).

18 Based on the foregoing and all of the relevant facts surrounding the settlement, the undersigned
19 finds that the settlement was reached in good faith.

20 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

21 IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge that the
22 District Judge GRANT Central Washington Asphalt, Inc. and Donald Hannon's Motion for Determination

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

1 of Good Faith Settlement with Slagowski Parties (ECF NO. 523).

2 DATED this 8th day of August, 2016.

3
4 

5 _____
6 CAM FERENBACH
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25